

# How does FSC certification benefit Indigenous Peoples?

Reflections of working on FSC for 10 years on behalf of the Crees of Eeyou Istchee



#### **General Observations**

- While FSC's Principles and Standards are the same, each First Nation's experience with FSC certification is unique
  - Different legal frameworks
  - Historical relationships with forestry on traditional lands
  - Specific relationship with company(s) seeking certification
  - The FSC auditor and the relationship with them
- Unlike laws, which tend to be rigid, FSC's Principles and Standards tend to evolve (e.g., Motion 65, Woodland Caribou guidance, FPIC)
- Experience with FSC and its benefits largely shaped by energy available to work with the FSC "system"

## **Early Years**

- > First experience was in development of Boreal Standard
- Approached by Tembec concerning certification in Eeyou Istchee—2000-3 (?)
- Certified company publishes confidential information in audit report (2009)
- Learn that Domtar, Tembec and Chantiers Chibougamau have all been certified in Eeyou Istchee—Principles 3 and 6?
- Complete reliance on pre-existing Paix des Braves Forestry regime

## Closing the Back Door (2009-11)

- Gut reaction—condemn FSC as mere green tattoo with no serious commitment to its principles
  - Declare a boycott and issue disparaging press releases, etc.
- Sober reaction (Russell Collier (et al) "A Voice on the Land")—consider giving FSC a second chance
- Summit meeting with FSC, certified companies, their auditors and the Crees (2010)

## Closing the Back Door (2009-11)

- > FSC Summit Meeting (2010)
  - What went wrong?
  - Can it be fixed, if not can it be challenged?
  - Could FSC yield positive benefits?
- Draft FSC Protocol Agreement with companies and auditors—not Consent Agreement
- Workshop with other First Nations to improve knowledge and experience with FSC (Valerie Courtois)

## Resolute Challenge and Benefits (2011-15)

- Resolute seeks certification for Lac St Jean operations—contacts the Crees
- Crees discover Resolute is in breach of 2002 Forestry Agreement (Baril-Moses)
- Despite Crees objections Resolute is certified
- Crees challenge auditors decision on Principle 3 win judgment by ASI (FSC's auditor of the auditors)

## Resolute Challenge and Benefits (2011-15)

- Resolute's FSC certification is suspended and it also looses several contracts (e.g. Bestbuy, 3M)
- Commerical and political fallout –government of Quebec appoints special mediator to resolve the matter with the Crees—new Agreement signed which:
  - Restores original forestry measures
  - Adds a further approx 3,000 km<sup>2</sup> of protection for IFL/ICL
  - Resolution of other outstanding financial matters
  - Restores Cree consent for Resolute's operations

## **Further Benefits**

- Post Resolute challenge auditors much more attentive to Cree concerns
- Regular emails, conference calls, meetings and occasional field visits
- This has helped the Crees resolve issues that the government and companies would otherwise let slide or ignore

## **Further Benefits**

- > Examples here relate to:
  - Potential logging in woodland caribou core areas
  - Restoration measures for poorly engineered forestry bridges
  - Lapses in forestry consultation procedures and various misunderstandings over land use conflicts
  - Interventions related to forestry contracts



## Conclusion

- For the Crees, FSC has come along way since auditors simply called the Band office with the question: "so how are things with Tembec?"
- Experience shows that the auditors learn more about the standards the more they are engaged or challenged—this then extends to the company(s) involved
- FSC's benefits are maximized by input (pushing the interpretation to fit your needs)
- > This requires capacity and funding